

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,287	07/25/2003	Koji Yokoi	CHUO:001	2378
7590 08/14/2006			EXAMINER	
Marc A. Rossi			GEORGE, KONATA M	
ROSSI & ASSO P.O. Box 826	OCIATES		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ashburn, VA 20146-0826			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,287	YOKOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Konata M. George	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
A COUNTY OF A LINE DELIVER LATERAL						

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 11, 2006
was noted and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37
CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Action Summary

- 2. Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claim 5.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Nippon Sheet Glass Co. LTD. In view of Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary is being maintained for the reasons stated in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the prior art reference of Nippon fails to teach the weight range of metal oxide film attached to the glass flakes. The lower limit range for the metal oxide film as claimed starts at 0.1%, and since Nippon teaches that the flakes are coated with a metal oxide film, it would be prima facie

Art Unit: 1616

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that metal oxide film of the coated particles comprises at least 0.1% of the composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nippon Sheet Glass Co. LTD (JP 2001011340) in view of Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary.

Claims 1-3 are directed towards a cosmetic containing a powder having a base material of glass flakes coated with a metal film and coated again with a metal oxide film. Wherein the glass flakes have a mean thickness of 0.5 to 8.0 microns and a diameter of 5 to 1,000 microns.

Art Unit: 1616

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Nippon teaches a pearlescent pigment comprising glass flakes have an average thickness of 0.1 to 25 microns and a diameter of 1 to 300 microns. The flakes are coated with a noble metal and titania (titanium dioxide).

Hawley's Chemical Dictionary teaches on page 802 that a noble metal can be gold or platinum.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The prior art does not teach exact mean thickness and diameter as claimed by applicant.

Finding of prima facie obviousness Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

Although the prior art does not teach the exact range, the ranges do overlap and are thus obvious. *In re Wertheim*, 541, F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,287 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Conclusion

6. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Page 6

the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number

is 571-272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM

Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at 571-272-0646. The

fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Should you have question on access to the Private Pair direct.uspto.gov.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Konata M. George

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600